

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

/	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/789,312 02/27/2004		Siti Arija Mad Arif	SIRIM-007XX	9773	
	207 75	590 09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
		EN, SCHURGIN, GA FICE SQUARE	GNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP	ROONEY, NORA MAUREEN		
	BOSTON, MA	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1644		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/789,312	MAD ARIF ET AL	MAD ARIF ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Nora M. Rooney	1644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ition appe	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communit of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DA 37 CFR 1.130 ication. ory period wi I, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUNI 6(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	I)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> .							
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>18-47</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or	election requirement					
	on Papers							
	•							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachment	* ·							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	-948) O/SB/08\	Paper No(5) Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date	J. J	6) Other:		,			

Application/Control Number: 10/789,312 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 18-21, 33, drawn to a protein encoded by SEQ ID NO:5 that can induce an allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 22-30 and 40-45, drawn to a DNA sequence encoding the protein or a portion of the protein that can induce an allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein and a method for making the recombinant protein, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1 and class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - III. Claim 31, drawn to a method of identifying a compound capable of binding to the protein that can induce an allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein of claim 18 <u>using protein</u>, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - IV. Claim 32, drawn to a method of identifying a compound capable of binding to the nucleic acid of claim 22 <u>using nucleic acid</u>, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - V. Claims 34-36, drawn to a method of producing the protein comprising latex centrifugation, freeze-thawing the bottom fraction for obtaining the latex B-serum and isolating and purifying the protein from the B-serum, classified in class 530, subclass 412.

- VI. Claims 37-39, drawn to an antibody that selectively binds to the protein encoded by SEQ ID NO:5 that can induce an allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- VII. Claim 46, drawn to an immunoassay for the presence of antibodies to allergenic latex protein encoded by SEQ ID NO:5 that can induce allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein, classified in class 436, subclass 500.
- VIII. Claim 47, drawn to a method of providing immunotherapy for a patient comprising administering an immunotherapeutically effective amount of antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- 2. Groups I, II and VI are different products. The proteins, DNA and antibodies of Groups I, II and VI, respectively, differ with respect to their structures, physicochemical properties and modes of action; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 3. Groups III-V and VII-VII are different methods. The methods of identifying compounds that bind to protein and nucleic acid in Groups III and IV, respectively, are different from the method of producing the protein that can induce an allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein of Group V. In the same way, the method of immunoassay in Group VII for the presence of antibodies to allergenic latex protein encoded by SEQ ID NO:5 that can induce allergic reaction in persons sensitized to the protein of Group V is different from the method of providing immunotherapy for a patient comprising administering an immunotherapeutically effective amount of antibody of Group VIII. All of these methods are distinct, each from each other,

Application/Control Number: 10/789,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

because all five methods require different ingredients, method steps and endpoints. Therefore, each method represents patentably distinct subject matter.

- 4. Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of Group I can be made protein synthesis.
- 5. Groups I/III, VI/VII and VI/VII are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the protein of Group I can be used for immunization or generation of monoclonal antibodies and the antibodies of Group VI can be used for generation of anti-idiotypic antibodies.
- 6. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes

Application/Control Number: 10/789,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

as indicated is proper. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention.

Species Election

- 7. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121:
- (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable; and
 - (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

A. If Group II is elected, applicant is required to elect:

- 1. a single peptide species as disclosed by SEQ ID:NO 5 or SEQ ID:NO 2;
- a single DNA species encoding the single peptide species as disclosed by SEQ
 ID:NO 5 or SEQ ID:NO 2; and
- 3. a single specific vector as disclosed in claims 41-45.

The peptide and vector species of Group II are distinct because they have different structures and physiochemical properties. Therefore, they the species are patentably distinct.

8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,312

Art Unit: 1644

9. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 6

- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).
- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

12. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found

Application/Control Number: 10/789,312

Art Unit: 1644

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 7

13. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/789,312

Art Unit: 1644

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

Page 8

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nora M. Rooney whose telephone number is (571) 272-9937.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. A

message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571)

272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 25, 2006

Nora M. Rooney, M.S., J.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

MAHER M. HADDAD PATENT EXAMINER